CEFI Adult

COMPREHENSIVE EXECUTIVE FUNCTION INVENTORY ADULT ™

G&N ®
Goldstein & Naglieri
Excellence In Assessments

Jack A. Naglieri, Ph.D. & Sam Goldstein, Ph.D.

Comparative Report

Client's Name/ID: Jodie Weather
Gender: Female
Birth Date: February 17, 1997

	Observer	Self-Report
Client's Name/ID:	Jodie Weather	Jodie Weather
Administration Date:	January 26, 2017	January 25, 2017
Age:	20	20
Observer's Name/ID:	Meagan	
Relationship to Client:	Roommate	
Time Known Client:	4 years, 2 months	
Examiner:		
Data Entered By:		

This Comparative Report is intended for use by qualified individuals. Parts of this report contain copyrighted material, including test items. If it is necessary to provide a copy of this report to anyone other than the examiner, sections containing copyrighted material must be removed.

About the Comprehensive Executive Function Inventory Adult™

The Comprehensive Executive Function Inventory Adult (CEFI Adult™) is used to quantify an individual's level of executive function. In combination with other information, results from the CEFI Adult help calibrate an individual's level of executive function in the following areas: Attention, Emotion Regulation, Flexibility, Inhibitory Control, Initiation, Organization, Planning, Self-Monitoring, and Working Memory.

To help the user interpret inter-rater differences in reported executive function behaviors and to provide an overview of the individual's behavior from a multi-rater perspective, this computerized report combines the results from up to three raters. For additional information about inter-rater comparisons, consult the *CEFI Adult Technical Manual*.

About the Ratings

This section of the report provides an evaluation of CEFI Adult ratings provided by two raters. Item scores were examined for consistency, negative impression, and number of omitted items. The amount of time it took to complete the assessment was also examined. If response bias is indicated, the responses should be reviewed with the rater to explore possible reasons why.

Rating Characteristic

	Observer 01/26/2017	Self-Report 01/25/2017
CONSISTENCY INDEX	**2** An inconsistent response style is not indicated.	**2** An inconsistent response style is not indicated.
NEGATIVE IMPRESSION	**0** A negative response style is not indicated.	**0** A negative response style is not indicated.
OMITTED ITEMS	**0** The rater did not omit any of the items.	**0** The rater did not omit any of the items.
COMPLETION TIME	**14 minutes** The response time was typical.	**15 minutes** The response time was typical.

Scale-Level Scores Between Raters

FULL SCALE

Classification
Very Superior
Superior
High Average
Average
Low Average
Below Average
Well Below Average

Observer 01/26/2017: 84
Self-Report 01/25/2017: 80

ATTENTION

Observer: 66
Self-Report: 52

EMOTION REGULATION

Observer: 81
Self-Report: 98

FLEXIBILITY

Observer: 84
Self-Report: 105

INHIBITORY CONTROL

Observer: 82
Self-Report: 87

INITIATION

Observer: 103
Self-Report: 91

ORGANIZATION

Observer: 93
Self-Report: 68

PLANNING

Observer: 84
Self-Report: 82

SELF-MONITORING

Observer: 82
Self-Report: 87

WORKING MEMORY

Observer: 89
Self-Report: 76

1.0.0

Detailed Scores and Significant Differences Between Raters

Jodie's results are detailed in the tables that follow. Standard Scores (SS), Classifications (Average, Above Average, Below Average, etc.) and Executive Function Strengths (EFS)/Executive Function Weaknesses (EFW) are shown for each administration of the CEFI Adult. Statistically significant (p < .05) differences between scores are noted in the "Significant Differences Between Raters" column.

Note: O = Observer and SR = Self-Report.

Classification: Well Below Average ≤ 69   Below Average = 70–79   Low Average = 80–89   Average = 90–109
High Average = 110–119   Superior = 120–129   Very Superior ≥ 130

FULL SCALE			
Score	**Observer** 01/26/2017	**Self-Report** 01/25/2017	**Significant Differences Between Raters**
Standard Score	84	80	No significant difference
Classification	Low Average	Low Average	

CEFI ADULT SCALES				
	Score	**Observer** 01/26/2017	**Self-Report** 01/25/2017	**Significant Differences Between Raters**
Attention	SS	66	52	No significant difference
	Classification	Well Below Average	Well Below Average	
	EFS/EFW	Weakness	Weakness	
Emotion Regulation	SS	81	98	SR > O
	Classification	Low Average	Average	
	EFS/EFW	-	-	
Flexibility	SS	84	105	SR > O
	Classification	Low Average	Average	
	EFS/EFW	-	-	
Inhibitory Control	SS	82	87	No significant difference
	Classification	Low Average	Low Average	
	EFS/EFW	-	-	
Initiation	SS	103	91	No significant difference
	Classification	Average	Average	
	EFS/EFW	-	-	
Organization	SS	93	68	O > SR
	Classification	Average	Well Below Average	
	EFS/EFW	-	Weakness	
Planning	SS	84	82	No significant difference
	Classification	Low Average	Low Average	
	EFS/EFW	-	-	
Self-Monitoring	SS	82	87	No significant difference
	Classification	Low Average	Low Average	
	EFS/EFW	-	-	
Working Memory	SS	89	76	No significant difference
	Classification	Low Average	Below Average	
	EFS/EFW	-	-	

Item Responses

The rater provided the following item responses.

Response Key: 0 = Never, 1 = Rarely, 2 = Sometimes, 3 = Often, 4 = Very Often, 5 = Always, ? = Omitted Item

| | Ratings | | | | Ratings | |
| | Observer | Self-Report | | | Observer | Self-Report |
Item	01/26/2017	01/25/2017		Item	01/26/2017	01/25/2017
1.	2	3		41.	2	4
2.	2	4		42.	2	3
3.	2	3		43.	2	1
4.	2	3		44.	3	3
5.	2	2		45.	1	1
6.	2	3		46.	2	2
7.	2	3		47.	2	1
8.	2	1		48.	2	3
9.	2	2		49.	2	1
10.	3	3		50.	3	2
11.	2	2		51.	2	4
12.	2	1		52.	3	2
13.	2	3		53.	3	2
14.	1	1		54.	3	3
15.	2	2		55.	2	2
16.	2	2		56.	2	2
17.	2	3		57.	3	2
18.	2	2		58.	2	3
19.	2	3		59.	2	2
20.	2	2		60.	3	5
21.	2	0		61.	3	2
22.	4	5		62.	2	2
23.	2	2		63.	2	2
24.	2	2		64.	3	1
25.	2	3		65.	2	2
26.	2	2		66.	0	0
27.	3	1		67.	2	1
28.	4	1		68.	3	3
29.	2	3		69.	2	2
30.	2	2		70.	3	3
31.	1	1		71.	4	2
32.	3	3		72.	3	4
33.	3	3		73.	2	2
34.	2	2		74.	2	2
35.	3	3		75.	2	2
36.	0	0		76.	2	4
37.	2	2		77.	2	2
38.	2	3		78.	1	2
39.	2	3		79.	1	3
40.	2	4		80.	4	3

1.0.0